The Saponification Value of Edible Oils: Chemical Principles, Analytical Methodologies, and Industrial Applications

Prepared by: Nutioils Pvt Ltd
H.V.P. Wijewardane – Industry Analyst | Coconut Sector Development
Date: December 2025
White Paper on Policy & Industry Analysis

1. Introduction: The Physicochemical Significance of Lipid Characterization

The global edible oil industry, encompassing a vast array of commodities from the ubiquitous soybean and palm oils to specialized lipids like shea butter and argan oil, relies on a stringent framework of physicochemical parameters to ensure quality, safety, and authenticity. Among these parameters, the Saponification Value (SV)—defined as the number of milligrams of potassium hydroxide (KOH) required to completely saponify one gram of fat or oil—occupies a central position in lipid chemistry. While often regarded as a routine quality control metric, the SV is, in reality, a fundamental descriptor of the molecular architecture of triglycerides, providing immediate and quantitative insights into the average molecular weight and chain length of the constituent fatty acids.[1]

The significance of the saponification value extends far beyond the laboratory bench. It serves as the mathematical and chemical bridge between the raw biological material—the seed, fruit, or nut—and its industrial applications. In the manufacture of soaps and detergents, the SV dictates the precise stoichiometry required to convert hydrophobic fats into hydrophilic surfactants without producing caustic or greasy defects. In the bioenergy sector, it informs the production of biodiesel, where the molecular weight of the feedstock directly influences the fuel's combustion properties and cold-flow performance. Furthermore, in an era where economically motivated adulteration poses a persistent threat to global supply chains, the SV acts as a primary forensic tool, capable of flagging inconsistencies in oil purity that might otherwise go undetected.[3]

This comprehensive report elucidates the multifaceted nature of the saponification value. It explores the organic chemistry mechanisms governing the hydrolysis of esters, details the

rigorous analytical protocols standardized by international bodies such as ISO and Codex Alimentarius, and examines the practical implications of SV across the oleochemical, cosmetic, and food industries. By synthesizing theoretical principles with practical case studies, this analysis demonstrates why this centuries-old index remains indispensable in modern lipid science.

2. Theoretical Framework: The Chemistry of Saponification

2.1 The Mechanism of Alkaline Hydrolysis

Saponification is chemically defined as the alkaline hydrolysis of fatty acid esters. In the context of edible oils, the primary substrate is the triglyceride (triacylglycerol), a macromolecule composed of a glycerol (propane-1,2,3-triol) backbone esterified with three fatty acid chains. These chains can vary in length (number of carbon atoms) and degree of saturation (number of double bonds), structural variations that fundamentally dictate the physical properties of the oil.[5]

The reaction proceeds via a nucleophilic acyl substitution mechanism, typically utilizing a strong base such as potassium hydroxide (KOH) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH) in an aqueous or ethanolic medium. The process involves three distinct steps, corresponding to the sequential cleavage of the three ester bonds on the glycerol backbone:

1. **Nucleophilic Attack:** The hydroxide ion (OH^-), acting as a potent nucleophile, attacks the electrophilic carbonyl carbon of the ester group. This addition disrupts the pi-bond of the carbonyl, creating a tetrahedral intermediate where the oxygen carries a negative charge.
2. **Elimination:** The tetrahedral intermediate is unstable. The carbonyl double bond reforms, expelling the alkoxide ion (part of the glycerol backbone) as a leaving group. This step releases the fatty acid, which initially exists as a free acid.
3. **Deprotonation (Irreversible Step):** The highly basic environment immediately deprotonates the newly formed fatty acid to generate the carboxylate anion (the soap) and water. Simultaneously, the alkoxide ion abstracts a proton from the solvent (usually water or ethanol) to form the hydroxyl group of the glycerol molecule.

The general stoichiometric equation for the complete saponification of a triglyceride with potassium hydroxide is:

$$C_3H_5(OOCR)_3 + 3KOH \longrightarrow C_3H_5(OH)_3 + 3RCOOK$$

This stoichiometry is immutable: one mole of triglyceride, regardless of its total molecular weight, essentially contains three moles of ester linkages and therefore requires exactly three

moles of KOH for complete saponification.[7]

2.2 The Inverse Relationship: Molecular Weight and Saponification Value

The utility of the saponification value arises from the inverse relationship between the mass of the triglyceride molecule and the amount of alkali it consumes. This relationship is a function of the fatty acid chain lengths.

Consider two distinct triglycerides:

- **Trilaurin (Glyceryl Trilaurate):** Composed of three Lauric acid (C12) residues. It is a relatively small and light molecule.
- **Triolein (Glyceryl Trioleate):** Composed of three Oleic acid (C18) residues. It is a larger and heavier molecule.

Because both molecules are triglycerides, 1 mole of Trilaurin and 1 mole of Triolein will both react with exactly 3 moles of KOH. However, their molar masses differ significantly. Trilaurin has a molar mass of approximately 639 g/mol, while Triolein has a molar mass of approximately 885 g/mol.

When analyzing a fixed mass sample (e.g., 1 gram) as specified in standard protocols:

- 1 gram of Trilaurin contains more moles of substance (approx 1.56 mmol) than 1 gram of Triolein (approx 1.13 mmol).
- Consequently, the 1-gram sample of Trilaurin presents more ester bonds to the alkali and consumes more KOH.
- Therefore, **Trilaurin has a higher Saponification Value (~263 mg KOH/g) than Triolein (~190 mg KOH/g)**.[7]

This principle allows the SV to serve as a direct proxy for the average molecular weight (MW) of the oil. The relationship can be expressed mathematically:

$$\text{Average MW}_{\text{oil}} = \frac{3\ X\ 56.1\ X\ 1000}{SV}$$

Where:

- 3 represents the number of fatty acid residues per triglyceride.
- 56.1 is the molecular weight of KOH (g/mol).
- 1000 is the conversion factor to align units (mg to g).

Implication: Oils rich in short-chain fatty acids (like coconut oil) will have high SVs, while oils rich in long-chain fatty acids (like rapeseed or olive oil) will have lower SVs. This distinction is

critical for characterizing the functional properties of the oil.[1]

2.3 Thermodynamics and Kinetics

The saponification reaction is exothermic, releasing heat as the stable carboxylate salts are formed. However, the reaction kinetics are heavily influenced by the phase behavior of the reactants. Triglycerides are hydrophobic (lipophilic), while the alkali (KOH/NaOH) is typically dissolved in water or alcohol (hydrophilic). This immiscibility creates a heterogeneous reaction environment where the reaction rate is limited by the interfacial surface area between the oil and the aqueous phase.

In analytical methods (like ISO 3657), ethanol is used as a solvent to bridge this phase gap. Ethanol is amphiphilic; it dissolves the fatty acids and is miscible with the aqueous alkali, creating a homogeneous single-phase system. This eliminates mass transfer limitations, allowing the reaction to proceed rapidly under reflux conditions (boiling), ensuring 100% completion within the standard 30-60 minute timeframe. Without ethanol, or with insufficient agitation, the saponification would be sluggish and incomplete, leading to erroneous analytical results.[2]

3. Analytical Methodology: Rigorous Determination of SV

The determination of SV is governed by strict international standards to ensure reproducibility and accuracy in commercial trade. The primary reference method is **ISO 3657:2020**, with equivalent standards including **ASTM D5558** and **AOCS Cd 3-25**.[10]

3.1 The Reference Method: ISO 3657

The standard method employs a titrimetric approach involving the boiling of the sample with an excess of ethanolic potassium hydroxide, followed by the determination of the unreacted alkali.

3.1.1 Reagents and Their Functions

- **Ethanolic Potassium Hydroxide (0.5 mol/L):** The reagent must be prepared in ethanol (95% v/v) rather than water. As noted, ethanol solubilizes the fat, ensuring a homogeneous reaction mixture. The solution must be colorless or straw-yellow; dark solutions indicate the presence of resinous polymers formed by the oxidation of ethanol or aldehydes, which can obscure the endpoint of the titration.[10]
- **Hydrochloric Acid (0.5 mol/L):** A standard volumetric solution used for back-titration.
- **Phenolphthalein Indicator:** A pH indicator that transitions from pink (in alkaline KOH) to colorless (at the neutral equivalence point).
- **Alkali Blue 6B:** An alternative indicator used for dark-colored oils (e.g., crude palm oil, certain fish oils) where the pink-to-colorless transition of phenolphthalein would be

masked by the oil's pigments. Alkali Blue 6B transitions from blue to red.[10]

3.1.2 The Procedure

1. **Sample Weighing:** A test portion is weighed into a conical flask. The mass is critical and depends on the expected SV. For high-SV oils (Coconut), a smaller mass (1.0 - 1.2 g) is used to ensure the excess KOH is not depleted. For low-SV oils (Rapeseed), a larger mass (2.0 g) is permitted.[10]
2. **Saponification:** 25.0 mL of the ethanolic KOH solution is added to the flask. The flask is connected to a reflux condenser and placed on a heat source.
3. **Reflux:** The mixture is boiled gently for 60 minutes. The reflux condenser prevents the solvent (ethanol) from evaporating, maintaining the reaction volume and temperature. Swirling is performed periodically to ensure mixing.
4. **Titration:** Immediately after cooling (but while still fluid), the excess KOH is titrated with standard HCl until the indicator changes color.
5. **The Blank Test:** Crucially, a "blank" determination is performed simultaneously. This flask contains 25.0 mL of the KOH solution but no oil. It undergoes the exact same reflux and titration steps.

3.1.3 The Calculation and The Role of the Blank

The SV is calculated based on the difference in acid consumption between the blank and the sample.

$$SV = \frac{(VBlank - VSample)\ X\ M\ HCl\ X\ 56.1}{WSample}$$

Why the Blank is Essential:
The ethanolic KOH solution is not perfectly stable. During the 60-minute boil, several side reactions can occur:

- Absorption of atmospheric CO_2 to form potassium carbonate (K_2CO_3), which consumes alkalinity.
- Reaction of KOH with the glass vessel (etching) or trace impurities in the ethanol.
- Slight evaporation of the solvent changing the concentration.

By running a blank simultaneously, any loss of titer due to these environmental factors is subtracted out. The difference (V_{Blank} - V_{Sample}) represents *only* the KOH consumed by the saponification of the oil.[7]

3.2 Sources of Analytical Error

- **Incomplete Saponification:** If the reflux time is too short or the heat too low, the triglycerides may simply emulsify rather than hydrolyze. This results in a high consumption of acid during titration (as less KOH was used), leading to a falsely **low** SV.

- **Polymerization of Reagents:** If the ethanolic KOH turns brown (due to aldehyde resin formation), the color change at the endpoint becomes indistinguishable, leading to titration errors.
- **Steric Hindrance:** Some lipids, particularly waxes or those with unusual branching, saponify very slowly. Standard protocols may need extension (e.g., 2 hours reflux) for such materials.[10]
- **Temperature Effects:** Titrations should be performed at a consistent temperature. Significant differences between the temperature of the blank titration and sample titration can introduce volumetric errors due to the expansion/contraction of the ethanolic solution.

3.3 Instrumental Alternatives: FTIR and NMR

While the wet method is the legal standard, it is time-consuming and uses hazardous reagents. Modern laboratories increasingly utilize instrumental methods for rapid screening.

- **Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR):** This technique measures the absorption of infrared light by chemical bonds. In lipids, the carbonyl (C=O) stretch of the ester linkage and the hydrocarbon (C-H) stretches are prominent. By calibrating the instrument with samples of known SV (determined by titration), Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression models can predict the SV of unknown samples with high accuracy ($R^2 > 0.99$). This method is solvent-free and takes minutes rather than hours.[11]
- **Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR):** ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy provides a direct count of protons in different chemical environments. It can quantify the ratio of glyceryl protons (in the triglyceride backbone) to fatty acid chain protons. This ratio correlates directly with the average chain length and thus the SV. Studies indicate NMR results deviate by less than 3% from ISO 3657 methods, making it a viable research tool.[12]

4. The Saponification Spectrum: Comparative Lipid Profiles

The SV is not a random number; it is a fingerprint of the oil's biological origin. The Codex Alimentarius (CXS 210-1999) defines acceptable ranges for commercially traded oils. These can be categorized into distinct groups based on their fatty acid chain lengths.[13]

4.1 The Lauric Oils: High Saponification Values (230 – 265)

This group includes Coconut Oil, Palm Kernel Oil, and Babassu Oil. They are unique in the plant kingdom for accumulating medium-chain fatty acids (MCFA), primarily Lauric acid (C12:0) and Myristic acid (C14:0).

- **Coconut Oil (SV: 248 – 265 mg KOH/g):**
  - *Origin:* The kernel of the coconut (*Cocos nucifera*).
  - *Chemistry:* Composed of ~48% Lauric Acid. The C12 chain is significantly shorter

than the C18 chains found in most vegetable oils. This low molecular weight means there are more triglyceride molecules per gram, necessitating a very high amount of KOH for saponification.
    - *Significance:* This high SV makes coconut oil the most efficient soap-making fat by weight. It yields a very hard, soluble soap that lathers explosively even in cold or salt water (marine soap).[8]
- **Palm Kernel Oil (SV: 230 – 254 mg KOH/g):**
    - *Origin:* The seed (kernel) of the oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*), chemically distinct from the fruit flesh (Palm Oil).
    - *Chemistry:* Its profile is nearly identical to coconut oil, making it a direct industrial substitute. Its slightly lower SV range reflects a marginally higher proportion of Oleic acid compared to coconut.[14]

4.2 The Palmitic and Oleic Oils: Medium Saponification Values (190 – 209)

This category encompasses animal fats and the fruit flesh of the oil palm. They are characterized by a balance of C16 (Palmitic) and C18 (Oleic) acids.

- **Palm Oil (SV: 190 – 209 mg KOH/g):**
    - *Origin:* The mesocarp (flesh) of the oil palm fruit.
    - *Chemistry:* roughly 44% Palmitic acid (C16:0) and 39% Oleic acid (C18:1). The dominance of C16 gives it a slightly higher SV than pure C18 oils, but much lower than the lauric group.
    - *Significance:* It provides structural hardness to soap bars without the rapid solubility or "stripping" nature of coconut oil.[8]
- **Tallow and Lard (SV: 190 – 202 mg KOH/g):**
    - *Origin:* Rendered beef or pork fat.
    - *Chemistry:* Rich in Stearic (C18:0) and Oleic (C18:1) acids. Their SVs are very similar to Palm Oil, which explains why Palm Oil has largely replaced animal fats in industrial soap making.[8]

4.3 The Soft Oils: Standard Saponification Values (186 – 196)

The majority of liquid vegetable oils fall into this tight range. They are dominated by C18 fatty acids, specifically Oleic (C18:1), Linoleic (C18:2), and Linolenic (C18:3).

Oil	SV Range (mg KOH/g)	Dominant Fatty Acid	Notes
Soybean Oil	187 – 195	Linoleic (C18:2)	The global standard for "soft"

			oils. [8]
Sunflower Oil	189 – 195	Linoleic (C18:2)	Very similar to soybean; indistinguishable by SV alone. [5]
Corn (Maize) Oil	187 – 196	Linoleic (C18:2)	High unsaponifiable content (sterols) can slightly lower SV. [7]
Olive Oil	184 – 196	Oleic (C18:1)	The classic soap oil ("Castile"). The slightly lower SV compared to Palm reflects the pure C18 chain length vs C16/C18 mixes. [16]
Peanut Oil	187 – 196	Oleic/Linoleic	Contains trace long-chain acids (Arachidic C20) but not enough to shift SV drastically. [7]

Insight: Because these oils share the C18 backbone, their SVs are virtually identical. This makes SV a poor tool for distinguishing between, say, Sunflower and Soybean oil. For these differentiations, other indices like Iodine Value (measuring unsaturation) or Gas Chromatography (measuring specific fatty acid profiles) are required.[7]

4.4 The Long-Chain Oils: Low Saponification Values (< 185)

Oils containing Very Long Chain Fatty Acids (VLCFAs) exhibit distinctly low SVs.

- **Rapeseed Oil (Traditional/High Erucic):**
  - *Chemistry:* historically contained 40-50% Erucic Acid (C22:1).
  - *SV:* **168 – 181 mg KOH/g**. The presence of the heavy C22 chain significantly increases the average molecular weight, thus reducing the number of molecules per gram and lowering the SV.
- **Canola Oil (Low Erucic Acid Rapeseed):**
  - *Evolution:* Bred to remove Erucic acid (toxic) and replace it with Oleic acid (C18).
  - *SV:* **182 – 193 mg KOH/g**.

- *Insight:* The transition from "Rapeseed" to "Canola" is chemically visible in the SV. By replacing heavy C22 acids with lighter C18 acids, the SV of Canola shifted *upward*, aligning with other soft oils like Soybean. A low SV in a sample labeled "Canola" could indicate contamination with high-erucic wild rapeseed.[17]

4.5 Non-Triglyceride Lipids and Adulterants

- **Jojoba Oil (SV: 90 – 98 mg KOH/g):** Not a triglyceride, but a liquid wax ester (a fatty acid esterified to a fatty alcohol, not glycerol). This unique mono-ester structure results in a very low SV.
- **Mineral Oil / Liquid Paraffin (SV: ~0):** Mineral oils are alkanes (hydrocarbons). They contain **no ester linkages** and thus cannot hydrolyze. They consume 0 mg of KOH. This makes SV the primary diagnostic for detecting mineral oil adulteration in vegetable oils.[19]

5. Industrial Application I: The Chemistry of Soap Making

Saponification is the namesake reaction of the soap industry. The SV is not merely a quality check but the fundamental variable in formulation engineering.

5.1 The Lye Calculation: Stoichiometry in Practice

Soap makers usually use **Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)** for bar soaps and **Potassium Hydroxide (KOH)** for liquid soaps. Since standard SVs are expressed in mg KOH, a conversion is required for solid soap formulation.

The Conversion Factor:

- Molar Mass of KOH ≈ 56.11 g/mol.
- Molar Mass of NaOH ≈ 40.00 g/mol.
- Ratio: 40.00 / 56.11 ≈ 0.713.

To calculate the NaOH required for a specific oil, the KOH SV is multiplied by 0.713 (or divided by 1.403).

Example Calculation: 1000g of Coconut Oil

- Coconut Oil SV (average) = 257 mg KOH/g.
- Total KOH required = 1000g X 257mg/g = 257,000mg = 257g KOH.
- NaOH required = 257 g X 0.713 = 183.2 NaOH.

If a formulator used the KOH value (257g) of NaOH, they would use a massive excess of hydroxide (since NaOH is lighter and more reactive mole-for-mole), resulting in a dangerous, caustic brick rather than soap.[15]

5.2 Superfatting and Lye Discounting

In practice, using the exact stoichiometric amount of lye (0% superfat) is risky. Slight variations in the oil's actual SV (natural variance) or weighing errors could leave unreacted lye in the soap, causing skin burns.

To mitigate this, chemists apply a **Lye Discount** (typically 5-8%). They calculate the theoretical lye needed and then deliberately use 5% less.

- *Safety:* Ensures 100% of the lye is consumed.
- *Conditioning:* Leaves 5% of the oil unsaponified. These free triglycerides remain trapped in the soap matrix, acting as emollients to moisturize the skin.
- *Chemistry of Emolliency:* High SV oils (Coconut) are often superfatted at higher rates (up to 20%) because they are so efficient at cleaning that they can strip the skin's lipid barrier. The excess oil mitigates this harshness.[15]

5.3 Physical Properties and Crystal Structure

The fatty acid profile indicated by the SV dictates the soap's crystallography.

- **High SV (Lauric) Soaps:** Form rigid, highly crystalline structures. They dissolve rapidly, producing quick, fluffy lather. However, sodium laurate is very soluble, meaning a bar of pure coconut soap will "melt" away quickly in a wet shower.
- **Low SV (Oleic) Soaps:** Sodium oleate forms a softer, gooier gel phase upon contact with water. "Castile" soap (100% Olive Oil) cures very slowly and can be slimy if not cured for months. It produces a dense, slime-like lather rather than bubbles.[24]

6. Industrial Application II: Biodiesel and Oleochemicals

In the production of Biodiesel (Fatty Acid Methyl Esters - FAME), SV is a critical parameter for process control and fuel characterization.

6.1 Transesterification Stoichiometry

Biodiesel is made by transesterifying triglycerides with methanol. While not a saponification reaction *per se*, the stoichiometry is identical: 3 moles of alcohol are needed for every 1 mole of triglyceride.

- Engineers use the SV to calculate the average molecular weight of the feedstock oil.
- This allows for the precise calculation of the methanol charge. Using too much methanol wastes energy in recovery; using too little lowers the yield.[3]

6.2 SV as a Predictor of Fuel Properties

The SV of the feedstock correlates with the physical properties of the resulting fuel:

- **Cetane Number (CN):** A measure of ignition quality. Long-chain fatty acids (Low SV) generally have higher Cetane Numbers. Therefore, a low SV feedstock (like Rapeseed) often yields biodiesel with better combustion characteristics than high SV feedstocks (like Coconut).[26]
- **Cold Flow Properties:** High SV feedstocks (rich in short chains) produce biodiesel with better cold-flow properties (lower cloud point), meaning the fuel is less likely to gel in winter. Low SV feedstocks (long chains) are prone to crystallization at low temperatures.
- **Energy Density:** Long-chain fatty acids (Low SV) have a higher energy content per mole, but slightly lower per volume. The trade-off between cold flow (favored by High SV) and energy/ignition (favored by Low SV) is a key blending consideration.[26]

6.3 The "Saponification" Problem in Biodiesel

In biodiesel processing, actual saponification is a *side reaction* to be avoided. If the feedstock contains water or high Free Fatty Acids (FFA), the alkali catalyst (KOH/NaOH) will react with the FFA to form soap (saponification) instead of catalyzing the transesterification.

- **Soap Formation:** Creates emulsions that prevent the separation of the biodiesel from the glycerol byproduct.
- **Yield Loss:** Consumes the catalyst and the feedstock.
- **Pre-treatment:** High Acid Value (high FFA) oils must be pre-treated (acid esterification) to reduce FFA levels before the base-catalyzed step to prevent massive soap formation. The SV helps quantify the total potential soap load.[3]

7. Authenticity and Adulteration Detection

The high value of certain edible oils creates an incentive for fraud. Adulteration usually involves diluting a high-value oil with a cheaper, lower-quality substitute. SV is a primary screen for this illicit activity.

7.1 Detecting Mineral Oil (Paraffin)

A classic (and dangerous) form of adulteration is the addition of liquid paraffin to vegetable oils.

- **Mechanism:** Paraffins are alkanes, not esters. They have an SV of **0**.
- **Detection:** Adding 10% mineral oil to sunflower oil (SV ~190) reduces the mixture's SV to ~171. Since the Codex lower limit for sunflower oil is 188, this deviation is easily flagged. Any SV significantly below the species' minimum suggests contamination with non-saponifiable material.[19]

7.2 The Coconut Oil Case Study

Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) commands a premium price. It is often adulterated with Palm Olein (a fraction of palm oil).

- **Data:**
  - Coconut Oil SV: ~255 mg KOH/g.
  - Palm Olein SV: ~200 mg KOH/g.
- Mixing Model:
  If a sample contains 20% Palm Olein:

$$SV_{mix} = (0.8 \times 255) + (0.2 \times 200) = 204 + 40 = 244.$$

- **Conclusion:** A value of 244 falls *below* the Codex minimum for Coconut Oil (248). Therefore, SV analysis can successfully detect adulteration at levels of ~15-20% and above. For lower levels of adulteration (e.g., 5%), SV is less effective due to the natural overlapping ranges, and more sensitive methods like Carbon Isotope Ratio Analysis are needed.[28]

7.3 Limitations and "Smart" Adulteration

Sophisticated adulterators may blend oils to mimic the SV of the target oil. For example, blending a low SV oil (Rapeseed) with a high SV oil (Palm Kernel) could theoretically produce a mixture with an SV matching Soybean oil. However, this usually disrupts other indices (like Iodine Value or Refractive Index). Therefore, SV is rarely used in isolation; it is part of a multi-parametric "fingerprint" (SV + Iodine Value + Refractive Index) used to verify authenticity.[27]

8. Biological and Nutritional Implications

While SV is an industrial parameter, it reflects biological realities relevant to nutrition.

- **Digestion:** The enzymatic hydrolysis of fats by pancreatic lipase in the human gut is essentially a biological saponification.
- **Metabolism of High SV Fats:** Oils with high SV (Coconut, MCT oil) contain Medium Chain Triglycerides (MCTs). These are digested and absorbed differently than Long Chain Triglycerides (LCTs). They travel directly to the liver via the portal vein and are preferentially oxidized for energy rather than stored as fat. Thus, the high SV of coconut oil correlates with its specific ketogenic and metabolic properties.[1]

9. Conclusion

The Saponification Value is a cornerstone of lipid science, a robust and versatile metric that encodes the molecular identity of fats and oils. From its theoretical basis in the stoichiometry

of alkaline hydrolysis to its rigorous application in ISO standardized protocols, the SV provides a critical window into the quality and composition of lipid resources.

- In **Manufacturing**, it is the navigator for formulation, ensuring that soaps are safe and biofuels are efficient.
- In **Trade**, it is the guardian of authenticity, detecting the dilution of premium oils with inferior substitutes.
- In **Chemistry**, it is a fundamental descriptor of chain length and molecular weight.

As the industry moves toward more sustainable and diverse feedstock sources—from algae oils to engineered lipids—the Saponification Value will remain an essential tool, adapting through modern methodologies like FTIR and NMR while retaining its historical authority as the definitive measure of a fat's alkali reactivity.

Table 1: Consolidated Saponification Values and Characteristics of Major Oils

Oil / Fat	SV Range (mg KOH/g)	Average MW (g/mol)	Key Fatty Acids	Industrial Significance	Source IDs
Coconut Oil	248 – 265	~630 - 680	Lauric (C12)	Hard, cleansing soaps; MCT source.	[8]
Palm Kernel	230 – 254	~660 - 730	Lauric (C12)	Substitute for coconut; high lather.	[8]
Babassu	245 – 256	~650 - 690	Lauric (C12)	Specialty cosmetic surfactant.	[15]
Palm Oil	190 – 209	~800 - 880	Palmitic (C16)	Structural fat for soap bars.	[8]
Tallow (Beef)	190 – 202	~830 - 880	Stearic/Oleic	Traditional soap base; hardness.	[8]
Lard (Pork)	192 – 203	~830 - 870	Oleic/Stearic	Softer than tallow;	[8]

				conditioning.	
Olive Oil	184 – 196	~850 - 910	Oleic (C18:1)	Castile soaps; highly conditioning.	[16]
Soybean Oil	187 – 195	~860 - 900	Linoleic (C18:2)	General purpose soft oil.	[31]
Sunflower Oil	189 – 195	~860 - 890	Linoleic (C18:2)	High iodine value; soft soap.	[5]
Corn Oil	187 – 196	~850 - 900	Linoleic (C18:2)	Similar to soy/sunflower.	[7]
Canola (LEAR)	182 – 193	~870 - 920	Oleic (C18:1)	Modified for edible use; soft soaps.	[18]
Rapeseed	168 – 181	~930 - 1000	Erucic (C22:1)	Industrial lubricants; low SV.	[8]
Castor Oil	176 – 187	~900 - 950	Ricinoleic	Humectant; unique solubility.	[5]
Jojoba Oil	90 – 98	~600 (Ester)	Eicosenoic	Liquid wax; hair care.	[32]
Mineral Oil	0	N/A	Alkanes	Adulterant; non-saponifiable.	[19]

Works cited

1. accessed December 26, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saponification_value#:~:text=It%20is%20a%20measure%20of,of%20triglycerides%20and%20vice%20versa.
2. Chemistry Lab - Saponification value of Oil/Fat - JIET Universe, accessed December 26, 2025, https://erpjietuniverse.in/virtual_lab/chemistry/labs/exp9/theory.html
3. Chemistry Saponification - SATHEE, accessed December 26, 2025, https://sathee.iitk.ac.in/article/chemistry/chemistry-saponification/
4. Saponification value – Knowledge and References - Taylor & Francis, accessed

December 26, 2025, https://taylorandfrancis.com/knowledge/Engineering_and_technology/Chemical_engineering/Saponification_value/
5. What is Saponification: Mechanism, Application & Example | AESL - Aakash Institute, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.aakash.ac.in/important-concepts/chemistry/saponification
6. Saponification - Wikipedia, accessed December 26, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saponification
7. Saponification Value Formula Derivation - BYJU'S, accessed December 26, 2025, https://byjus.com/chemistry/saponification-value-formula-derivation/
8. Saponification value - Wikipedia, accessed December 26, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saponification_value
9. Saponification Value - Carboxyl / Alfa Chemistry, accessed December 26, 2025, https://carboxyl.alfa-chemistry.com/saponification-value.html
10. 2.06 ISO 3657 2013 Saponification Value | PDF | Chemistry - Scribd, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/492357598/2-06-ISO-3657-2013-saponification-value
11. Erucic acid evaluation in rapeseed and canola oil by Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236021400_Erucic_acid_evaluation_in_rapeseed_and_canola_oil_by_Fourier_transform-infrared_spectroscopy
12. Saponification Value of Fats and Oils as Determined from 1H-NMR Data: The Case of Dairy Fats - NIH, accessed December 26, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9140812/
13. SECTION 1. Codex General Standard for Fats and Oils, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.fao.org/4/y2774e/y2774e03.htm
14. SECTION 2. Codex Standards for Fats and Oils from Vegetable Sources, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.fao.org/4/y2774e/y2774e04.htm
15. Saponification Values in Soap Making, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.thesoapkitchen.co.uk/guide-to-sap-values/
16. Vegetable oil - Standard products catalogue IFRC ICRC, accessed December 26, 2025, https://itemscatalogue.redcross.int/relief--4/food--5/edible-oils--31/vegetable-oil--FOILGROU.aspx
17. Erucic Acid in Edible Fats and Oils - Centre for Food Safety, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.cfs.gov.hk/english/multimedia/multimedia_pub/multimedia_pub_fsf_162_02.html
18. Canola Oil, accessed December 26, 2025, http://www.phexcom.com/Content/pdf/Canola%20Oil.pdf
19. White Mineral Oils 10/60 (LLP) - Aristo Oilchem, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.aristooilchem.com/product.php?val=69&cv=21
20. Mineral Oil & Light n Heavy Liquid Paraffin, accessed December 26, 2025, https://mubychem.net/mineral-oil-&-light-n-heavy-liquid-paraffin.php

21. Saponification overview of oil SAP values - YouWish, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.youwish.nl/en/soap-making/saponification-overview-of-oil-sap-values/
22. Saponification Values in Soap Making: A Complete Guide for Beginners, accessed December 26, 2025, https://damascussoap.ca/blogs/skin-hair/saponification-values-guide
23. Reference Guide to Saponification Values of Common Oils & Fats in Cosmetics, accessed December 26, 2025, https://cosmetics.alfa-chemistry.com/resources/reference-guide-to-saponification-values-of-common-oils-fats-in-cosmetics.html
24. The Effects of Cold Saponification on the Unsaponified Fatty Acid Composition and Sensory Perception of Commercial Natural Herbal Soaps - NIH, accessed December 26, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6225244/
25. What is Saponification in Soapmaking? | History, Process, Values - BubbleDrunk, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.bubbledrunk.com/blogs/news/saponification
26. Saponification value of biodiesel of produced from WFO and WFO treated... - ResearchGate, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Saponification-value-of-biodiesel-of-produced-from-WFO-and-WFO-treated-with-various_fig7_276149952
27. A Comprehensive Review on Assessment of Adulteration Detection Techniques In Edible Oils - International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.ijpsjournal.com/article/A+Comprehensive+Review+on+Assessment+of+Adulteration+Detection+Techniques+In+Edible+Oils
28. (PDF) Detection of Oil Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) Utilizing Chemometrics and Principal Component Analysis - ResearchGate, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377230708_Detection_of_Oil_Adulteration_in_Virgin_Coconut_Oil_VCO_Utilizing_Chemometrics_and_Principal_Component_Analysis
29. Detection and quantification of palmolein and palm kernel oil added as adulterant in coconut oil based on triacylglycerol profile - PMC - NIH, accessed December 26, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8405765/
30. Edible oil Adulteration Testing - Lifeasible, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.lifeasible.com/custom-solutions/food-and-feed/food-testing/authenticity-testing/edible-oil-adulteration-testing/
31. Saponification Value: A Key Indicator for Evaluating the Quality of Vegetable Oils - VIPSEN, accessed December 26, 2025, https://vipsen.vn/en/saponification-value-a-key-indicator-for-evaluating-the-quality-of-vegetable-oils/
32. SAP Values Chart for Soapmaking - Soaper's Choice, accessed December 26, 2025, https://www.soaperschoice.com/pages/sap-values